Energy, Federalism, and the Economic Foundations of Future Canadian Unity

RUPAK CHATTOPADHYAY

Rupak Chattopadhyay is President and CEO of the Forum of Federations, where he has held several senior leadership roles, including Vice President and Director of Asia-Pacific Programs. An expert in federalism, constitutional reform, and intergovernmental relations, he has advised governments and organizations across Asia, Africa, Europe, and India, including supporting peace and constitutional processes in Sri Lanka and the Philippines. He has authored and co-edited numerous publications on federal governance and currently serves on the editorial board of the Global Dialogue on Federalism series.

Federations are not sustained by sentiment alone. At their core, they are economic arrangements—built on shared prosperity, integrated markets, and mutual dependence. Canada's history illustrates this reality with unusual clarity. Confederation in 1867 was not merely a political compromise among British North American colonies; it was an economic solution to urgent, structural challenges. Today, as Canada confronts renewed stresses on its federal framework, the same lesson applies. Energyled nationbuilding—grounded in cooperation, infrastructure, and shared benefit—offers a pathway to renew unity, prosperity, and national purpose in an increasingly unstable world.

Confederation as an Economic Project

The origins of Canada lie in economic necessity. The repeal of the Reciprocity Treaty with the United States in 1866 threatened colonial exports and exposed the vulnerability of north–south trade relationships. Colonial governments were burdened by mounting debts, while geography created its own constraints: inland producers needed dependable access to icefree Atlantic ports, and the colonies required secure trade routes that did not depend on U.S. goodwill. Political union was, in essence, an economic survival strategy.

Leaders such as John A. Macdonald and GeorgeÉtienne Cartier grasped this clearly. Confederation promised an east–west commercial axis that would bind disparate regions together, protective tariffs to foster domestic industry, and the federal assumption of certain debts to stabilize provincial finances. Above all, it promised infrastructure. Railways were not an afterthought but the central instrument of national integration. The Intercolonial Railway and later the Canadian Pacific Railway stitched together markets, enabled troop movement, facilitated settlement, and laid the foundation for a national economy.

This economic logic persisted well beyond 1867. The National Policy, western settlement, postwar highway construction, and the TransCanada Highway all reflected the same insight: federations endure when economic integration reinforces political unity. Yet embedded within Confederation was a permanent tension—between national coordination and regional autonomy—that continues to shape Canadian federalism.

Federalism, Resources, and Enduring Tension

Canadian federalism assigns strong powers to the provinces, particularly over natural resources, while reserving authority over interprovincial trade, transportation, and major infrastructure for the federal

government. This balance has been both a source of resilience and recurring conflict. Provinces retained ownership and control of resources, a principle reinforced over time and entrenched in Section 92A of the Constitution Act. At the same time, Ottawa retained responsibility for building the economic connections that make a single national market possible.

Nowhere has this tension been more evident than in energy policy. Quebec's hydroelectric megaprojects became symbols of provincial autonomy and economic pride, even as they exported power beyond provincial borders. Alberta's oil and gas sector, by contrast, became a flashpoint when federal policy appeared to centralize revenues or constrain development. The National Energy Program of 1980 remains a defining episode, demonstrating how national coordination can fracture unity when it is perceived to override the federal bargain.

The lesson from these episodes is not that nationbuilding should be avoided, but that it must align regional interests with national objectives. Cooperation, consent, and shared benefit are the conditions under which federalism works.

A Federation Under Renewed Strain

Today, Canada faces stresses that echo earlier periods of transition. Regional economic divergence is widening. Western provinces express frustration over pipeline constraints, emissions policy, and perceived federal overreach. Quebec pursues a distinct energy model centred on hydroelectricity and electrification. Ontario balances industrial competitiveness with nuclear investment. Atlantic Canada looks to offshore wind and emerging cleanenergy opportunities. At the same time, debates over carbon pricing, affordability, and equalization payments expose deeper questions about fairness and burdensharing within the federation.

These pressures are intensified by global forces. Energy transition is reshaping markets faster than institutions can adapt. Supplychain vulnerabilities and geopolitical instability have reelevated energy security as a core national concern. Indigenous nations, long excluded from decisionmaking, are asserting rights to consent, equity, and ownership, reshaping how development must proceed. Without deliberate coordination, these dynamics risk pulling federalism toward fragmentation rather than cooperation.

Energy sits at the centre of this challenge. The sector remains foundational to the Canadian economy, contributing roughly a tenth of GDP, employing hundreds of thousands of Canadians directly and indirectly, generating billions in public revenues, and accounting for a large share of goods exports. Yet its benefits are unevenly distributed, and its environmental footprint poses legitimate policy dilemmas. Unmanaged, energy becomes a zerosum contest among regions. Strategically developed, it can once again provide the economic glue of Confederation.

NationBuilding Through Energy Infrastructure

Largescale, crossjurisdictional infrastructure offers a constructive way forward. Nationbuilding projects succeed precisely because they require cooperation: federal facilitation, provincial consent, and partnership with private capital and Indigenous communities. Energy infrastructure—pipelines, transmission lines, export terminals, carboncapture networks, interprovincial grids, and nuclear facilities—is uniquely suited to this role due to its scale, connectivity, and export potential.

Recent initiatives signal a renewed appetite for this approach. Canada is expanding LNG export capacity on the Pacific coast, investing in carbon capture and storage in oilproducing regions, modernizing electricity grids, strengthening nuclear generation, and exploring hydrogen and criticalminerals development. Increasingly, these efforts are framed not as isolated megaprojects but as components of a coordinated national strategy that links emissions reduction with competitiveness and regional strengths with global demand.

A defining feature of this new model is Indigenous leadership and ownership. Projects that include majority Indigenous equity stakes and governance represent a decisive break from the extractive approach of the past. Rather than positioning reconciliation and development as competing priorities, energy infrastructure now has the potential to align economic opportunity with selfdetermination—adding legitimacy, durability, and moral authority to nationbuilding itself.

A Rare Global Opportunity for Canada

The international context makes this moment particularly consequential. Canada is among the world's leading net energy exporters, with vast reserves of oil and gas, enormous hydroelectric capacity, a mature nuclear sector, and growing renewable and lowcarbon fuel potential. At the same time, global energy markets are increasingly shaped by instability. Conflict, chokepoint risk, and geopolitical rivalry have exposed the vulnerability of traditional supply chains.

Major importers such as India and China are actively seeking to diversify energy supply away from volatile regions. Energy security has become a strategic priority, not merely an economic one. In this environment, reliability—political stability, rule of law, predictability—has become the scarce commodity. Canada possesses it in abundance.

This creates a rare, timebound opportunity for Canada to position itself as a reliable, longterm global supplier across the energy spectrum: responsibly produced oil and gas, lowemission LNG, hydroelectricity, nuclear power, and emerging fuels such as hydrogen. These exports are not simply commercial transactions; they are instruments of economic diplomacy, national influence, and strategic relevance.

Jobs, Prosperity, and the Federal Bargain

Crucially, this opportunity is not only about exports or global stature. It is fundamentally about jobs and shared prosperity at home. Energyled nationbuilding creates highquality, durable employment across the federation—construction workers, welders, engineers, technicians, manufacturers, port operators, environmental specialists, project managers, and Indigenous entrepreneurs. These jobs are longterm and highwage, anchoring communities rather than producing transient booms.

Importantly, they are not confined to producing provinces. National supply chains link Prairie extraction and carboncapture expertise with Ontario manufacturing, British Columbia terminals, Atlantic logistics, and Indigenousowned enterprises across the country. When Canadians in every region can see tangible benefits—from paycheques to procurement contracts to municipal tax bases—the federation becomes a shared economic project rather than a collection of competing interests.

Energy exports also reinforce the fiscal foundations of federalism itself. Revenues strengthen provincial and federal balance sheets, support equalization payments, and finance public services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure. In this sense, energy is not simply a sectoral issue; it is a pillar of the social contract.

Just as importantly, modern energy nationbuilding rejects false tradeoffs between jobs and climate responsibility. Lowemission LNG can displace highercarbon coal abroad. Carbon capture allows heavy industry to remain competitive while reducing emissions. Grid interconnections enable clean electricity to flow across regions, improving reliability and lowering systemwide costs. Prosperous societies are better positioned to innovate, decarbonize, and adapt.

Renewing the Confederation Bargain

In the nineteenth century, railways transformed scattered colonies into a functioning federation by creating shared

economic purpose. In the twentyfirst century, energy corridors—pipelines, transmission lines, export terminals, and modernized grids—can play the same integrative role. They make federalism tangible, linking regions through commerce, employment, and shared fiscal capacity.

Federalism thrives not by suppressing regional diversity, but by harnessing it toward common purpose. Energyled nationbuilding does exactly that. It respects provincial ownership of resources while enabling national coordination on trade access, infrastructure, and global engagement. It aligns reconciliation with development. And it advances Canadian sovereignty by diversifying markets and insulating the economy from external shocks.

Confederation endured because it delivered mutual gain—because it translated cooperation into material improvement in people's lives. Canada now faces a similar test. The alternative to deliberate nationbuilding is stagnation amid division, an untenable path for a country whose unity rests on economic solidarity. By investing strategically and inclusively in its energy future, Canada can reaffirm the Confederation bargain for a new century—delivering reliable energy to a world in need while creating good jobs, shared prosperity, and renewed unity at home, from sea to sea to sea.