Canada's Demographic Future Depends on Immigration—and on Rebuilding Trust

ANIL ARORA

Canada's aging population and very low fertility mean future labour-force growth will depend largely on immigration, even as governments cut targets amid pressures on housing and public services. Public support for immigration has become more conditional, creating a trust deficit rooted in doubts about policy management rather than rejection of newcomers. Lower admissions alone cannot fix structural problems such as housing shortages and slow credential recognition. Rebuilding confidence requires linking selection to integration, sharing data across

Anil Arora served as Canada's Chief Statistician from 2016 to 2024, leading the modernization of Statistics Canada and helping shape the federal government's data strategy. His public service career spans more than 35 years, including senior leadership roles at Natural Resources Canada and Health Canada. He is an adjunct lecturer at Harvard Kennedy School and a board member of the Centre for International Governance Innovation, with expertise in data governance, digital transformation and public policy.

Canada's demographic debate has entered a new phase. After several years of exceptionally rapid population growth, driven overwhelmingly by international migration, the federal government has begun reducing immigration targets and limiting several temporary-resident streams. These adjustments respond to real concerns about housing, health care, education, affordability, and the capacity of communities to absorb rapid change. Yet a slower rate of growth does not alter the basic demographic arithmetic facing the country. Canada is aging, fertility is very low, and the future expansion of the labour force will depend primarily on immigration. The central policy question is therefore not whether immigration will shape Canada's demographic future, but whether governments can manage it in ways that Canadians regard as coherent, fair, and sustainable.

For much of the past half-century, Canada combined relatively high immigration with unusually broad public acceptance. Immigration was understood as a source of economic growth, demographic vitality, cultural diversity, and national renewal. That consensus has weakened. The change should not be interpreted simply as a rejection of newcomers. Public support has become more conditional and performance-based. Canadians are increasingly asking whether immigration levels are aligned with the housing supply, public services, labour-market opportunities, and local infrastructure. The resulting trust deficit is now one of the most important forces shaping the country's demographic choices.

The current policy correction creates an opportunity to move beyond the false choice between continuously increasing admissions and sharply closing the door. Temporary reductions can relieve immediate pressure and provide time to rebuild capacity, but they should be used to redesign the system rather than merely pause it. Canada needs a demographic framework that can respond to economic cycles and regional differences while preserving a clear long-term direction. That means distinguishing permanent immigration from temporary programs,

improving oversight of international education and temporary work, and explaining how each stream contributes to population, labour-market, humanitarian, and family objectives. A system whose purposes are clearly stated and whose results are regularly reported will be better positioned to sustain public confidence when immigration must rise again.

A demographic reality that cannot be postponed

Canada's age structure is changing rapidly as the baby-boom generation moves into retirement. Nearly one Canadian in five is already aged 65 or older, and the proportion will continue to rise. At the same time, the total fertility rate has fallen far below the replacement level of 2.1 children per woman. Births alone cannot sustain population growth or replace the workers leaving the labour market. In 2023, almost all of Canada's population growth came from international migration, including both permanent and temporary residents.

These trends carry consequences well beyond the size of the population. A rising ratio of retirees to working-age people increases pressure on health care, pensions, long-term care, and public finances. Immigration cannot stop population aging, but it can moderate its pace, expand the tax base, and replenish sectors affected by retirement. This is especially important as Canada pursues major projects in housing, infrastructure, energy, critical minerals, advanced manufacturing, defence, and digital technology. Labour-market projections suggest millions of job openings over the coming decade, most generated by replacement needs rather than entirely new positions.

The demographic challenge is also regional. Large metropolitan areas attract most newcomers because they offer established communities, services, educational institutions, and employment networks. These same places frequently face the country's most severe housing and transportation pressures. Smaller cities, rural communities, Atlantic Canada, northern regions, and official-language minority communities may need population growth more urgently, but often lack the employment depth, settlement infrastructure, or service capacity required to attract and retain newcomers. Canada therefore faces a spatial mismatch: population growth is most intense where capacity is most visibly strained, while regions seeking newcomers may struggle to retain them.

Immigration is necessary, but numbers alone are not a strategy

The recent debate has understandably focused on immigration levels. Targets matter, particularly when population growth substantially outpaces housing construction and service expansion. But lowering admissions does not repair the structural weaknesses that made rapid growth difficult to manage. Canada entered the post-pandemic period with longstanding shortages of affordable housing, slow municipal approval processes, underfunded infrastructure, health-worker shortages, and a post-secondary sector increasingly dependent on international tuition. Immigration exposed and intensified these weaknesses; it did not create all of them.

The same distinction applies to the labour market. Canada has become highly effective at selecting educated and skilled immigrants, yet it remains far less effective at enabling many of them to use their qualifications. Credential-recognition delays, fragmented provincial licensing systems, requirements for Canadian experience, and uneven employer practices produce a familiar paradox: the country recruits talent to meet shortages while internationally trained professionals remain underemployed. The problem is particularly evident in health care, where internationally educated physicians, nurses, and other professionals may wait years to practise even as communities struggle to find providers.

A credible demographic strategy must therefore link selection to integration. Immigration policy should be coordinated with occupational forecasting, training systems, credential recognition, interprovincial labour mobility, employer needs, and regional development. Newcomers should not be treated simply as additions to labour supply. Their economic contribution depends on whether they can find appropriate work, secure stable housing, learn English or French where needed, access services, and build durable connections to their communities.

This is equally important for social cohesion. When newcomers experience persistent deskilling or exclusion, Canada loses productivity and individuals lose confidence in the promise that attracted them. When established residents see population growth without corresponding investments in housing and services, they may conclude that the system is poorly managed. In both cases, the failure is institutional. A sustainable immigration system must produce visible benefits for newcomers and receiving communities alike.

Rebuilding trust through place-based planning

Canada's immigration system remains predominantly organized around national and provincial targets, while many of its consequences are experienced locally. Municipalities build neighbourhood infrastructure, manage transit and planning, and support access to community services, yet they often receive limited advance information about the scale and composition of population growth. Settlement organizations encounter emerging needs first, but their knowledge is not always incorporated into immigration planning. Employers identify shortages, while educational and licensing systems may respond too slowly.

This fragmentation is reinforced by fragmented information. Data relevant to immigration, housing, employment, education, health care, and service use are spread across federal departments, provinces, municipalities, regulators, and community organizations. They are collected on different schedules, use inconsistent definitions, and frequently become available only after pressures are already visible. Canada is consequently attempting to manage one of its most consequential demographic systems with partial and lagged situational awareness.

A more integrated information architecture should be treated as essential national infrastructure. Governments need common definitions, secure data-sharing arrangements, regional indicators, and timely dashboards that connect immigration flows to housing completions, rental vacancies, labour shortages, school enrolment, health-care capacity, and settlement demand. Better information would not produce a mechanical formula for the "correct" number of immigrants. It would, however, make trade-offs more transparent and permit targets to be adjusted before local pressures become crises.

Such a framework must be place-based and collaborative. Provinces, territories, municipalities, Indigenous governments, official-language minority communities, employers, educational institutions, and settlement agencies should help define the indicators and interpret the results. Indigenous data sovereignty and community governance must be respected. The objective is not simply to centralize more data in Ottawa, but to create a federated system in which actors at different levels share a common view of demographic change and can be held accountable for outcomes.

Settlement institutions as demographic infrastructure

Canada's settlement sector is among the country's most important forms of social infrastructure. Community agencies help newcomers navigate language learning, employment, housing, schools, health care, credential recognition, and civic participation. They also build trust in ways that government programs alone often cannot. Their staff and volunteers translate national policy into practical support at the most consequential moment in a newcomer's transition.

As immigration levels change, it would be a mistake to treat settlement capacity as a simple variable that can be expanded or contracted mechanically. Funding reductions may weaken organizations precisely when integration challenges are becoming more complex. A more strategic approach would use periods of slower inflow to modernize services, strengthen coordination, improve digital tools, deepen employer partnerships, and focus measurement on long-term outcomes rather than the number of clients or workshops. Success should mean durable employment, recognized credentials, stable housing, linguistic integration, educational progress for children, and a sense of belonging.

Settlement organizations can also play a larger role in regional retention. Smaller communities often succeed in attracting newcomers but lose them when employment, housing, transportation, or social networks are insufficient. Local agencies, municipalities, employers, and community groups can identify these barriers early and design retention strategies suited to regional conditions. Francophone settlement organizations are particularly important to the future of official-language minority communities, for whom immigration is not merely a labour-market tool but a means of demographic and institutional renewal.

A demographic strategy grounded in competence and humanity

Canada cannot choose between immigration and demographic decline as though they were equivalent options. A substantial reduction in migration sustained over many years would slow labour-force growth, intensify population aging, and make it harder to finance public services and realize national economic ambitions. At the same time, immigration cannot retain legitimacy when governments appear unable to coordinate admissions with housing, services, infrastructure, and opportunity.

The path forward lies in restoring alignment. Immigration levels and composition must be connected to measurable capacity; infrastructure investments must anticipate rather than follow population growth; professional qualifications must be recognized more quickly; and regions must be given the tools to attract and retain newcomers. Governments must also communicate

honestly about both the benefits and the pressures associated with immigration. Trust is not rebuilt by insisting that concerns are illegitimate, nor by blaming newcomers for longstanding institutional failures. It is rebuilt through evidence, transparency, competence, and visible results.

Finally, immigration cannot be reduced to demographic and economic calculation. Family reunification, refugee protection, compassion, and the opportunity to become a full member of Canadian society remain central to the country's identity. Data and planning are indispensable, but they must remain connected to human judgment and civic purpose. Newcomers are not units of labour or inputs into a population model. They are future neighbours, colleagues, parents, entrepreneurs, and citizens.

Canada's demographic future will continue to be shaped by immigration, even as the pace and composition of admissions change. The country's success will depend less on finding a permanently fixed target than on building institutions capable of adapting intelligently. A system that aligns population growth with local capacity, enables newcomers to contribute fully, and preserves the confidence of the public can make immigration one of Canada's greatest enduring strengths. The task is not simply to restore trust in immigration policy. It is to renew trust in Canada's capacity to manage demographic change with competence, fairness, and humanity.

References

Employment and Social Development Canada. 2025. Canadian Occupational Projection System: Occupational Projections 2024–2033.

Environics Institute for Survey Research. 2024. Canadian Public Opinion about Immigration and Refugees – Fall 2024.

Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada. 2024. Annual Report to Parliament on Immigration 2024.

Statistics Canada. 2024. 'Canada's Population Growth, 2023.' The Daily, March 27.

Statistics Canada. 2024. 'Births and Stillbirths, 2023.' The Daily, September 25.

Statistics Canada. 2025. Population Projections for Canada (2024 to 2074).

World Education Services. 2025. A Renewed Vision for Canada's Immigration System.