Canada's Multicultural-Multiculturalism Brand in Canada's Changing Demographic Landscape

ARJUN TREMBLAY

This short essay provides a brief overview of the different meanings, permutations, and applications of the terms 'multicultural' and 'multiculturalism', both of which are key to Canada's international branding. It situates that branding within Canada's demographic future, where immigration-driven population change, regional variation, and the continued growth of ethnocultural, religious, linguistic, and racial diversity will increasingly shape how Canada is understood at home and abroad. In so doing, it addresses three questions: What does 'multiculturalism' mean in all its rich ambiguity? What too does 'multicultural' mean? What, precisely, are international students and other newcomers opting into and inheriting when they decide to move to Canada? And how will Canada's evolving demographic realities affect its multicultural brand? This essay's aim is to provide readers with a framework to judge, for themselves and with the evidence before them, whether Canada is worthy of its multicultural-multiculturalism branding and whether the country remains immune to the global anti-diversity and anti-democratic trends.

Arjun Tremblay is Associate Professor in the Department of Politics and International Studies at the University of Regina, Canada. His research focuses on diversity, democracy, and federalism and on the resilience of post-migration, minority-national, and diasporic communities. He is the author of Diversity in Decline: The Rise of the Political Right and the Fate of Multiculturalism (Palgrave Macmillan 2019) and co-author of Advanced Introduction to Federalism (Edward Elgar 2024). He is co-editor of Multiculturalism on the Mend? The Political Left and Ethnic Minorities in Liberal Democracies (Palgrave Macmillan 2024), The Politics and Poetics of Indian Digital Diasporas: From Desi to Brown (Routledge 2024), Assessing Multiculturalism in Global Comparative Perspective: A New Politics of Diversity for the 21st Century? (Routledge 2023), and Federalism and National Diversity in the 21st Century (Palgrave Macmillan 2020). His research has also appeared in the Canadian Journal of Law and Society, the Review of Constitutional Studies, and Terminal – Technologie de l'information, culture & société.

Introduction

What is typically and indistinguishably Canadian, what some might call Canada's international brand, can change dramatically from one generation to another. Just a short time ago, for some of us that is, Canada was synonymous with Tim Hortons, hockey, and the Tragically Hip. Over the years, the Hip has given way to Nickelback and Drake, Tim Hortons's to Lululemon and Shopify. And hockey's growing international popularity, the increase in cost in equipment and ice time, and Canada's inroads into other global sports have also meant that puck and Canuck are no longer interchangeable terms for Canadian identity.

Some aspects of Canada's international brand appear however to be relatively resistant to change. For example, Canada retains a positive global reputation for its continued, albeit diminished role in international peacekeeping. The country has also maintained its reputation for stable, civil political discourse, and for good

governance, particularly when compared to its unpredictable southern neighbor. Perhaps more importantly, the world continues to recognize Canada for its deeply diverse multicultural community and for its commitment to official multiculturalism.

This third aspect of Canada's brand is often touted by immigration agencies as one of the distinct advantages that Canada offers as a destination for migrants. While these agencies sometimes provide a summary of some key aspects of this particular part of the Canadian brand, they overlook others. Thus, the full meaning of the words 'multicultural' and 'multiculturalism' is likely to remain elusive for these agencies and for the newcomers they help take the path to immigration. To be clear, these terms often confuse Canadians. This should come as little surprise given that even the framers of Canada's Charter of Rights and Freedoms conceded that multiculturalism 'has no fixed meaning in and of itself, and can be ambiguous.' [1]What this also suggests is that newcomers are not merely consumers of Canada's multicultural brand; they are among the actors who continually help to redefine it.

What, then, does 'multiculturalism' mean in all its richness ambiguity? What too does 'multicultural' mean? What, precisely, are international students and other newcomers opting into and inheriting when they decide to move to Canada? And, as Canada's diversity deepens and spreads unevenly across regions, what pressures will be placed on the country's institutions, narratives, and public policies? In other words, as immigration continues to shape population growth, and as younger cohorts and major urban regions become still more diverse, how can and should multiculturalism remain a key feature of Canadian global identity?

This essay provides a brief overview of the different meanings, permutations, and applications of the terms 'multicultural' and 'multiculturalism.' By doing so, its aim is to provide readers with a framework to judge, for themselves and with the evidence before them, whether Canada is worthy of its multicultural-multiculturalism branding and whether the country remains immune to emergent anti-diversity and anti-democratic global trends. It contends that it is the capacity of multiculturalism to evolve with changing demographic realities that will determine whether Canada's brand remains credible in the decades ahead.

What, precisely, do 'Multicultural' and 'Multiculturalism' Mean?

The term 'multicultural' describes, in its most general usage, a community that is ethnically, religiously, linguistically, and racially diverse. In its more specific usage, particularly in countries of the Global North, multicultural has become synonymous with an ethnic, religious, linguistic, and racial diversification of national and sub-state communities that has been brought about by immigration.

Although people who use this more specific understanding of 'multicultural' sometimes link it to immigration writ large, more often than not they use it to describe a type of diversification brought about by relatively recent changes in global patterns of migration. That is to say they use multicultural to mean diversification brought about by the uptick, from the mid-20th century onwards, in immigration from countries of the Global South. This specific usage of 'multicultural' therefore assumes that societies of the Global North were relatively homogenous prior to shifts in global patterns of migration. When public commentators and politicians deploy this more specific usage of multicultural, they do so either to celebrate or to decry the diversity present in their communities.

[1] https://cba.org/Sections/Constitutional-and-Human-Rights/Resources/EssayWinnerConstl2025

Another important assumption embedded in the term multicultural is the understanding that a community that is ethnically, religiously, linguistically, racially or otherwise diverse likely comprises both a majority group as well as one or several minorities. The majority group is the one whose culture permeates public and private institutions, whose language is either the country's lingua franca or its official language, or whose values, customs, and beliefs are the unquestioned societal norm. A minority group, by contrast, is one whose members face barriers to economic, political, and educational participation because their culture differs from the majority's culture.

What further complicates our understanding of multicultural is when people add 'ism' to the end of the word and wind up discussing something called 'multiculturalism'. This term is even more complex than its suffix-less alternative and can have at least six key meanings.

One of these meanings is that multiculturalism is an ideology. This is to say that multiculturalism fulfills the same overall functions as do other ideologies, such as liberalism, conservatism, and socialism, for example, in that it provides people with a distinctive worldview and set of beliefs.

Embracing a multicultural worldview and set of beliefs can mean two different things. On the one hand, it can mean that one accepts that all individuals have a 'societal culture' that provides them with an understanding of what is good and bad in life. Accordingly, one must also accept (some might say 'tolerate') the mutual coexistence of different societal cultures as long as their specific practices and customs do not conflict with the basic protections of liberal individual rights. On the other hand, a multicultural worldview can also mean that all societal cultures are valued equally and that we should draw no distinction between liberal societal cultures and supposedly illiberal societal cultures.

Multiculturalism can also refer to a particular type of public discourse. By public discourse, I mean both the speeches that elected and appointed representatives deliver as well as the documents that their offices release in communicating their policy decisions to the public. Multiculturalism-as-discourse tends to refer to speeches and communications that, in some way or another, celebrate ethnic, religious, linguistic, and racial diversity. This can mean, among other things, recognizing minority religious holidays, acknowledging the historical wrongs committed against minorities, and, more generally, valuing the important link between diversity and democracy.

Multiculturalism, as it concerns the fields of Philosophy, Political Theory, and Sociology, can also be understood as a normative theory – which is to say as a solution to a problem or, to be more precise, as a means for making our communities fairer, more just, and truly equal. There are two main types of multicultural normative theories, one of which is associated with a Canadian School of Multiculturalism while the other is the product of the Bristol School of Multiculturalism.

The Canadian School of Multiculturalism advocates the implementation of a 'liberal multiculturalism' that entails laws and policies that recognize and protect minority societal cultures albeit within so-called 'limits of tolerance' (i.e., the protection of fundamental individual rights and freedoms). When applied to immigrants and to post-migration communities, liberal multiculturalism provides 'fair terms of integration' and lowers barriers to minority participation in the receiving-society. The Bristol School of Multiculturalism (BSM), for its part, takes a more expansive view of cultural recognition and protection that covers both liberal and 'illiberal' minorities. Additionally, and by further contrast to the Canadian School, the BSM places greater emphasis on religious identity as a key aspect of minority societal cultures and on the inclusion of minority identities and histories in national narratives.

Multiculturalism also refers to a national level

multicultural public policy. There are only a handful of countries to have ever implemented multiculturalism as a national level public policy. In Canada, the federal government implemented national level multiculturalism in three phases. First, in 1971, through the adoption of a policy of 'multiculturalism within a bilingual framework', second, in 1982, with the enshrinement of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and with it Section 27's 'multicultural heritage' clause, and, third, in 1988, with the adoption of the Canadian Multiculturalism Act.

Multiculturalism can also refer to an aggregate of public policies that serve multicultural aims but that may not, themselves, be called multicultural. The Queen's University Multiculturalism Policy Index project, under the co-direction of Keith Banting and Will Kymlicka, identifies twenty-three of these policies, eight for immigrant minorities, nine for Indigenous minorities, and six for national minorities. Multiculturalism policies for immigrant minorities include affirmative action, dual citizenship, publicly funded bilingual education, and exemptions from dress codes.

Finally, we can also define multiculturalism as a national identity. To be clear, this is a distinctly Canadian definition of multiculturalism. Even though countries such as Australia, Sweden, and the Netherlands, at one point in their history, adopted a national level multiculturalism policy, no country besides Canada has ever actively attempted to create a pan-national identity based primarily on the concept of multiculturalism and on the word itself.

Demographic change and the multicultural brand

The demographic future of Canada gives these distinctions more than definitional importance. If Canada's population growth continues to depend significantly on immigration, and if diversity becomes more visible across different regions and communities and is no longer confined to a small number of gateway cities, then the country's multicultural brand will be judged less by whether Canada celebrates diversity in the abstract and more by how it adapts to these and other demographic transformations.

This means that Canada's multicultural brand should not simply be understood as a description of what Canada already is. It must always be seen as a promise about what Canada says it can become. A viable modern multiculturalism must address a demographically changing Canada and show that it can address uneven regional population growth, different local capacities for settlement and inclusion, and the tensions that may arise when housing, public services, labour markets, and schools are asked to absorb rapid change. In this respect, a real test of Canadian multiculturalism is whether it can adjust to its demographic future and still provide a unifying national narrative while being lived differently across provinces, cities, and rural communities.

In brief, demographic diversity change raises the stakes. The future of Canada's multicultural brand may rest on its capacity to accurately reflect this diversity and, in so doing, continue to offer the world a globally distinctive national identity. The country's future reputation will therefore depend on whether multiculturalism can function not only as a celebratory language of diversity, but also as a policy and civic framework capable of sustaining inclusion, equality, and democratic trust.

Is Canada still on brand?

The foregoing discussion, in highlighting the multitude of meanings, permutations, and applications of the terms 'multicultural' and 'multiculturalism', is intended to provide readers with a more accurate way of assessing whether Canada is living up to its branding and international reputation. It also clarifies why the demographic future of Canada belongs at the centre of any

assessment of that brand: a country whose growth and transformation are so closely linked to immigration cannot separate the meaning of multiculturalism from the realities of population change.

So, is Canada still on brand? There are clear signs that it is. For example, the country consistently ranks at the top of the Multiculturalism Policy Index across all of its categories. [2]Additionally, and as concerns public discourse, it certainly seems to be. For example, Prime Minister Carney lauded Canada as a 'multicultural and ambitious country' at this year's Canada day celebrations[3]. And when it comes to the diversification of the Canadian community and to Canada's national identity, survey data shows, respectively, that most Canadians agree that immigrants have contributed positively to Canada[4] and that 'multiculturalism has contributed positively to Canadian identity'.[5] These indicators remain relevant for the country's demographic future because they suggest that, despite political friction and periodic backlash, multiculturalism still enjoys enough public and policy support to serve as a framework for managing continued diversification.

Although Canada seems to be on brand in many ways, there are signs of stress in Canadian multiculturalism. For instance, Canada's immigrant multiculturalism score has dipped (slightly) due to Quebec's adoption of Bill 21 and its bans on so-called ostentatious religious symbols.

Additionally, new caps on international students[6] are likely to decrease Canadian cultural diversity, at least on university campuses. And Banting and Kymlicka have warned us that Canadian multiculturalism, which is based on the tradition of liberal multiculturalism, is not adept at dealing with racism and does not fully understand the importance of religious identities[7]. These flaws might become more noticeable over time as Canada continues to struggle with the rise of Islamophobia and the resurgence of antisemitism.

Projected and ongoing demographic change will make these challenges harder to treat as marginal. If multiculturalism is to remain an integral part of Canada's global branding, it will need to reawaken and respond to diversity as a lived demographic reality, including the regional unevenness of population growth, the changing composition of classrooms and workplaces, and the need to preserve social trust as well as our openness to change as public debates over immigration levels become more heated.

As one can see, acknowledging the complexity of the terms multicultural and multiculturalism can provide us with a nuanced picture of the Canadian brand. It is my hope that, in being aware of this complexity, anyone choosing to move to Canada has a better sense of how close Canada's reality is to living up to Canadian advertising. And, as concerns Canada's changing demographic landscape, we must not lose sight that Canada's multicultural brand will only have value if it can adapt to and more accurately reflect the scale, speed, and regional complexity of the diversity that lies ahead.

[2] https://www.queensu.ca/mcp/

[3] https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/carney-canada-day-1.7574773

[4] https://www.environicsinstitute.org/projects/project-details/canadian-public-opinion-about-immigration-and-refugees---fall-2025

[5] https://www.environicsinstitute.org/projects/project-details/canadian-public-opinion-about-immigration-and-refugees---fall-2025

[6] https://www.thestar.com/politics/federal/canada-will-reduce-international-student-permits-by-more-than-half-budget-reveals/article_a02a2593-4eb8-42c1-861d-2b2a52fdf294.html

[7] Banting, Keith, and Will Kymlicka. 2010. "Canadian Multiculturalism: Global Anxieties and Local Debates." British Journal of Canadian Studies 23 (1): 43–72. https://doi.org/10.3828/bjcs.2010.3.